```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                       EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 2
                              SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                       Plaintiff,
                                        Case No. 16-20576
 5
     VS.
                                        Hon. Stephen J. Murphy, III
 6
     RANDY NIBUNGCO MONTANTE,
                       Defendant.
 7
 8
                                 SENTENCING
 9
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III
                        United States District Judge
10
                  Theodore Levin United States Courthouse
                        231 West Lafayette Boulevard
11
                         Detroit, Michigan 48226
                        Thursday, January 25, 2018
12
     APPEARANCES:
13
     For the Plaintiff
14
                                  MARGARET M. SMITH
     United States of America:
                                  United States Attorney's Office
15
                                  211 W. Fort Street
                                  Suite 2001
                                  Detroit, Michigan 48226-3220
16
                                  313-226-9135
17
     For the Defendant
                                  CAMILLA BARKOVIC
                                  Camilla Barkovic, Attorney at law
18
     Randy Nibungco Montante:
                                  25805 Harper Avenue
19
                                  St. Clair Shores, Michigan 48081
                                  586-773-2120
20
21
22
23
          To obtain a certified copy of this transcript, contact:
              Linda M. Cavanagh, CSR-0131, RMR, RDR, CRR, CRC
                          Official Court Reporter
24
                 (313) 234-2616 • www.transcriptorders.com
25
```

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	<u>Page</u>
3	SENTENCE ENHANCEMENT OBJECTION:
4	Argument by Ms. Barkovic5
5	Ruling by the Court
6	Further Argument by Ms. Barkovic
7	SENTENCING:
8	Allocution by Ms. Barkovic18
9	Allocution by Defendant Randy Montante
10	Comments by the Court
11	Further Comments by the Court
12	
13	EVIITDIMC.
14	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
15	Identification Offered Received
16	NONE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
 2
               Thursday, January 25, 2018
 3
               (Proceedings commenced at 11:11 a.m., all parties
 4
 5
              present)
                           The Court calls Case No. 16-20576, United
 6
              THE CLERK:
 7
     States of America versus Randy Montante.
              Counsel, please state your appearances for the
 8
 9
     record.
              MS. SMITH: Good morning, Your Honor. Maggie Smith
10
11
     appearing on behalf of the United States.
              MS. BARKOVIC: Good morning, Your Honor. May it
12
     please the Court, Camilla Barkovic appearing on behalf of Mr.
13
14
     Montante who is present.
              THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. Everybody may be
15
16
              Thank you, Gordon, and thank you, Ms. Ross, for being
     here as well.
17
              The defendant is here for his sentencing, and I would
18
     invite Ms. Barkovic and Mr. Montante to approach the microphone
19
20
     here and we can get started.
21
              Morning, Mr. Montante. How are you?
22
              DEFENDANT MONTANTE: Good morning. I'm well.
              THE COURT: Okay. You read the entire Pre-Sentence
23
     Report along with any revisions that have been made to it along
24
25
     with your lawyer, Ms. Barkovic, correct?
```

```
DEFENDANT MONTANTE:
                                   Yes.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. I understand that
     there are no issues in dispute. There has been a change of
 3
     counsel and quite a bit of litigation, and after the sentencing
 4
     memorandum came in from Ms. Barkovic prior to our last
 5
     sentencing date, I did order an exam from Dr. Sugrue, which he
 6
     conducted and provided a report on, but I just want to make
 7
 8
     sure -- thank you, Gordon. We had a trial in here yesterday
 9
     and we moved Ms. Smith's microphone but it's back now.
               So Ms. Smith, no objections to the report, the
10
11
     quideline range, the Offense Level or category or anything like
12
     that?
              MS. SMITH: Your Honor, I do not, but I do recall
13
     that the defense did file an objection in their sentencing
14
15
     memorandum to the previously agreed-upon plus four enhancement
     for sadomasochistic conduct in the offense.
16
                          Okay. All right.
17
              THE COURT:
              MS. BARKOVIC:
                             That is correct.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're okay with the report
19
     though, right?
20
21
              MS. SMITH: Yes.
                                              The probation officer
22
              THE COURT: All right. Okay.
23
     did not receive a -- an objection from Mr. Schulman and nothing
     was sent by Ms. Barkovic to her after the Pre-Sentence Report
24
25
     came out.
```

```
I did read about the legal arguments that both
 1
 2
     lawyers made regarding the enhancement, and do you want to make
     that as an objection now, Ms. Barkovic?
 3
              MS. BARKOVIC: Yes, Your Honor, I would.
 4
 5
     reiterate everything that was set forth in my supplemental
     sentencing memorandum as it relates to 2G2.1(b)(4)(A).
 6
     contention is there's been no evidence presented to establish
 7
 8
     that the defendant had ever received any photographs,
 9
     recordings or other items of a sadistic or violent nature.
               In addition, Your Honor, I would just note today that
10
11
     I am also concerned about the scoring of 2G2.1(b)(6)(B)(i)
12
     which requires the use of a computer. My contention, Your
     Honor, is that essentially the use of a computer is implied by
13
14
     the nature of the production charge pursuant to which Mr.
15
     Montante previously had pled quilty to, so I don't believe it's
16
     an appropriate enhancement and I would ask the Court not score
17
     it.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Well, I can speak to that and deny
          If you want to make a written post-sentencing motion or
19
     argument with -- with case law, you can do that. But I'm well
20
21
     familiar with that particular objection and argument and we've
22
     seen it before, and conceptually I agree with what you say
23
     because you're making a double-counting argument, but legally I
24
     must deny the objection because the use of the computer
```

contained within the offense along with the sentencing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sentencing • Thursday, January 25, 2018

```
enhancement has time and again been evaluated by the Sixth and
other circuits and it would be erroneous of me not to enhance
with the two points for the use of the computer, even though it
is subsumed within the offense conduct itself. I understand
that, but I'm denying the objection because I think that's been
resolved legally in the past.
         You want to speak to that, Ms. Smith?
         MS. SMITH: Your Honor, I would just point out that
the parties did have a Rule 11 Plea Agreement and these
enhancements were agreed upon --
         THE COURT:
                    Right.
         MS. SMITH: -- over a year ago.
         THE COURT:
                    Right.
         MS. SMITH: And I think I covered the 2G2.1(b) (4)
sadomasochistic --
         THE COURT: Well, we're not there yet. I mean I
just -- I just said that on the law I -- I am overruling the
objection she made. I agree, it was in the Plea Agreement, but
legally you agree that I'm -- I'm -- I'm correct based on my
recollection of the case law on the use of the computer
enhancement, right?
         MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. And I would also add
that the 2012 United States Sentencing Commission has indicated
that it purposely set offense levels lower to account for more
```

commonly applied enhancements such as the plus two for use of a

computer.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I appreciate that.

Now, on the 2G2.1(b)(4) four-level enhancement, I read both what Ms. Barkovic had to say in her supplemental sentencing memorandum of November 27 and I also read Ms. Smith's response which was lodged on December 21, 2017 at pages 7 through 9. Both lawyers have cited U.S. vs. Zhao, Mood, McCoy, Pinchot and Grimes. Ms. Smith distinguishes them from this case and contends that Weiner or Wiener, as you will, and Fogle along with State of Michigan vs. Pugh are distinguishable.

I've looked at everything and I'm ready to hear anything else you want to say on the legal issue subsumed within 2G2.1(b)(4), Ms. Barkovic.

MS. BARKOVIC: Your Honor, I would just indicate that there's been no evidence necessarily presented to this Court which would establish that Mr. Montante solicited MV-1 to engage in the alleged actions nor were any of those images or recordings located on any of his devices. Throughout the course of the proceedings that has been a frequency -- excuse me, frequently referenced issue by the prosecution, and the bottom line is there is no record that he ever received them nor is there a record that he solicited them from MV-1.

I would also indicate even if the Court were to find sufficient evidence to indicate that he essentially did receive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enhancement, (b) (4).

```
Sentencing • Thursday, January 25, 2018
those images or something of that nature, I would object
because I don't believe that what he may have received, if
anything, would be violent in nature, sadistic in nature.
         I direct the Court to the case of United States vs.
Corp.
                     Yes.
         THE COURT:
         MS. BARKOVIC: And in that case, Your Honor, the
Sixth Circuit essentially defined the buzzwords in the relevant
enhancement indicating that they're -- involved generally the
infliction of pain or humiliation, painful, coercive or abusive
images and other depictions of violence. I don't believe any
of that was depicted here.
         THE COURT: Do you have Ms. Smith's sentencing
memorandum with you?
         MS. BARKOVIC: Your Honor, I don't know if I have it
with me.
         THE COURT:
                     All right.
         MS. BARKOVIC: But I have reviewed it extensively and
I'm prepared to address it.
         THE COURT: All right. The government cites Corp,
and I agree with both lawyers that that's the appropriate
standard, legal standard under which to look at the
```

At the bottom of page 6 of Ms. Smith's memo, she sets

```
Montante's behest and included four different behaviors that she argues were within not only the offense level conduct of -- of 1(b) (4) but within the parameters of -- of Corp as well.
```

Putting aside for the moment the ultimate question, what's the effect of him not having received them? If -- if he wrote or Skyped or texted or said on video do this and she did and the government recovered these videos, which I don't think there's any dispute that they did, how does that get Mr. Montante out of the parameters of the enhancement?

MS. BARKOVIC: Well, Judge, essentially it has to be established that he was involved in that conduct in some manner. My contention is the discovery materials that I was provided with do not sufficiently establish that. We can go through them individually, but bottom line is he never received them according to the discovery materials obtained. An analysis via electronic devices was conducted. There was not a single one of those four videos located on any of his devices.

If the government wants this to be scored, then they have to establish essentially that he was involved in the production of these videos, and I don't believe that they can do that and they've set forth nothing which conclusively establishes or sufficiently establishes that.

THE COURT: Well, but they do. They set forth the Plea Agreement where he signed and said, "I agree to the worksheets at the end of the Plea Agreement." And that

```
1
     includes an enhancement for 2G2.1(b)(4), okay?
 2
              MS. BARKOVIC: Your Honor, I'm aware of that.
                                                              That's
     a whole nother issue as it relates to this defendant.
 3
              THE COURT: All right.
 4
              MS. BARKOVIC: Bottom line is the Court has a
 5
     obligation to ensure the guidelines are properly scored.
 6
              THE COURT: All right.
 7
              MS. BARKOVIC: And so I would ask that the Court
 8
 9
     consider my argument in light of that obligation.
              THE COURT: All right. Put aside the Plea Agreement,
10
11
     Ms. Smith, it doesn't seem that Ms. Ross made findings in this
12
     regard. I -- I take you at your word that the four videos
     listed at page 6 of your sentencing memorandum were ultimately
13
14
     recovered by the FBI, but how -- how should I -- how should I
15
     go in terms of the fact that Ms. Barkovic contends, number one,
     that this was -- these materials were not provided by way of
16
     discovery, and number two, her client never received them
17
18
     during the offense conduct that he -- I take that back.
     Paragraph 17 of the -- of the report indeed does list the
19
     materials. So the issue is in light, notwithstanding the Plea
20
21
     Agreement, the defendant allegedly never received these nor
     were they provided in discovery. If you would like to speak to
22
23
     that, go right ahead.
24
              MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
              Ms. Barkovic is incorrect on the facts. First off,
25
```

```
the nature of the relationship between Minor Victim 1 and the
 1
     defendant was that of a sadomasochistic relationship, and
 2
     that's evidenced by not just what Minor Victim 1 said during
 3
     her interview, but there is a text message that we recovered
 4
     where the defendant says, quote, "I love dominating you and I
 5
     love how submissive you are." Another quote, "Probably the
 6
     kinkiest was the clips, but I still remember when you put" and
 7
 8
     I bracketed [sexual item] "in your back door."
 9
              Now, in addition to these text messages between Minor
     Victim 1 and the defendant discussing this sadomasochistic type
10
     activity, number -- video number (1) that's listed at the
11
     bottom of page 6 in the government's memo was recovered off of
12
     Minor Victim 1's phone.
13
14
              Number (2) was --
              THE COURT: All right. I -- I agree,
15
16
     paragraph 7 says that forensics determine -- the report of Ms.
17
     Ross that is -- that the videos you cite in your sentence
     memorandum were on the minor victim's phone. What I want to
18
     know is -- it's her contention that they weren't provided in
19
     discovery or that her -- her client never received them.
20
                                                               To
     what effect is -- is that, if any -- if anything?
21
              MS. SMITH: Right. And that's why I'm saying she's
22
     incorrect. I did an evidence review with Ms. Barkovic.
23
                                                              The
     following was recovered on the defendant's thumb drive.
                                                              There
24
     are videos of Minor Victim 1 penetrating herself vaginally and
25
```

```
anally with a hairbrush. There are screen shots of Minor
 1
 2
     Victim 1 using duct tape on her body, including her nipples.
     He referenced the scene where Minor Victim 1 tears duct tape
 3
     off her mouth and pulls the panties out of her mouth for the
 4
     camera, he referenced that in his interview, and remnants of
 5
     Minor Victim 1 masturbating her breasts while wearing
 6
     clothespins were also on the thumb drive. That was provided in
 7
 8
     an evidence interview to Ms. Barkovic. I sat with her while
 9
     she reviewed the evidence.
              THE COURT: All right. Okay. All right. Okay.
10
11
              MS. BARKOVIC: And just to correct something, I did
12
     do an evidence review, but the bottom line is that these four
     images were obtained from a file that came from MV-1's phone,
13
     not the defendant's devices. And there are no communications
14
15
     that I've been provided with where the defendant tells her to
16
     go get the dog and do what's listed in this Pre-Sentence Report
     in 17-B.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Okay. The -- the job of the
18
     Court at this point is really very limited. It's not to
19
     determine whether or not the government provided discovery to
20
21
     the defense, and it's not to determine whether or not he was
22
     counseled and whether it was a good idea for him to agree to
23
     the enhancement.
24
              The simple question before the Court is -- and I --
                                                            If you,
25
     you know, I -- I'm not going to beat around the bush.
```

```
Ms. Barkovic, and your client down the line decide that, you know, there was ineffective assistance of counsel in another context or whatever, you -- you are going to determine whether or not that's something you want to pursue.
```

But in terms of sentencing, my job is to determine whether or not the offense conduct subsumes the activity set forth in the Pre-Sentence Report and whether or not that activity falls within the ambit of 2G2.1(b)(4), and I find that it does. Corp says very clearly that if conduct would cause an objective viewer to believe, without regard to the undepicted circumstances of the encounter, that activity in a picture is inflicting pain, emotional suffering or humiliation, then the enhancement applies.

I believe that the four videos that the government has lodged and that the probation officer listed were no question made by MV-1 within the relationship that she was having online with the defendant, that they were indeed, at the very minimum, humiliating, likely to cause emotional suffering, and in a couple of cases definitely would cause physical pain.

So I respect the argument of the defense and I appreciate the argument of the United States, but overall I find that the probation officer did not err in her establishing the four-point enhancement under 2G1. -- excuse me, 2G2.1(b) (4) for sadistic and masochistic conduct as interpreted by *United States vs. Corp*, 668 F.3d 379. That will be my ruling on that

```
1
     objection.
              And I think with that, we are ready to make the
 2
     Court's findings on the Offense Level, guideline range, et
 3
     cetera, correct, Ms. Smith?
 4
              MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Ms. Barkovic?
 6
 7
              MS. BARKOVIC: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                Thank you.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
 8
                                                             The
 9
     Offense Level is 39, the Criminal History Category is I.
     guideline range at that level, advisory, is 262 to 327 months.
10
11
     That does match up with the Plea Agreement that was negotiated
12
     by the parties. There's no ability for the Court to impose
     probation at that level.
13
               There's no agreement as to any fine, but I do think
14
15
     I'm going to avoid imposing a fine because there is a -- a
16
     restitution issue that we need to determine, number one, and
     there's no ability for this defendant to pay a fine. Number
17
18
     two, there's a special assessment that's agreed upon and a
     five-year mandatory supervised release term minimum.
19
               So that's the findings of the Court in terms of what
20
21
     the sentencing options are going forward.
22
              Before we get to allocution, let's resolve
23
     restitution. The United States has filed a memorandum with
     support, although the letters were not signed. Ms. Barkovic
24
```

points out, and I -- I agree, that the letters of the

```
therapeutic expert, the counselor and psychologist, Ms.
 1
 2
     Pfeiffer, as well as the victim's statement, which I don't
     think is actually out of -- out of line given the privacy
 3
     issues, were not signed.
 4
              But regardless of that, Pfeiffer says we're going to
 5
     need $42,000 worth of future therapy to get this victim back on
 6
     her feet. There are approximately 1,900 or more dollars worth
 7
 8
     of costs dealing with transportation, and then there's another
 9
     $2,200 worth of costs that were incurred as a result of prior
     therapy. Add all that up, you get $47,127 as restitution that
10
11
     this victim -- or that this defendant is responsible for under
12
     the statute to be paid to the victim of this crime.
               Is there anything I'm missing or anything else you
13
14
     want to say as to restitution, Ms. Smith?
15
              MS. SMITH:
                          No, Your Honor, except that I do have the
16
     original letter from the counselor that is signed. I'm not
     sure how -- I think what happened was the counselor e-mailed me
17
18
     the first copy and then -- and then sent the second one through
     the mail.
19
                          I suspected as much, but as long as
20
               THE COURT:
21
     you're willing to say that this redacted letter at page 2 of
22
     Exhibit 3 of your supplemental restitution memo is accurate,
     I'm -- I'm -- I'm -- I won't quibble with that, I'll accept it,
23
24
     but -- but that's where I'm at. Anything else?
25
              MS. SMITH: Nothing further, Your Honor.
                                                         I think I
```

```
covered it in my briefing.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right. You did. Thank you very
            I read your brief as well.
 3
              Any further argument on restitution, Ms. Barkovic?
 4
              MS. BARKOVIC: No further argument, Your Honor. I'd
 5
     rely on my brief.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Great. Restitution in personal
 8
     offenses or non-financial crimes is relatively rare. For
 9
     whatever reason -- well, not for whatever reason, but for well
10
     thought out legislative reasons the Congress enacted mandatory
11
     restitution for sexual exploitation of children. That's
12
     Section 2259 of 18 U.S. Code.
              Evers, which is another Sixth Circuit case, 669 645
13
14
     from 2012, makes restitution mandatory and directs the
15
     defendant to pay the victim the full amount of the victim's
16
     losses. Losses have been construed in the full amount to
     include costs incurred by the victim for psychiatric and
17
     psychological care, lost income, attorney's fees, other losses,
18
     things of that nature.
19
              Again, I understand the objection and the briefing of
20
21
     Ms. Barkovic that was very well done and much appreciated by
22
     the Court as good advocacy for a defendant, but this is not the
23
     type of -- in fact, I -- I think the government's estimates
     have actually been somewhat conservative. I've seen in other
24
```

cases other expenses asked for and ultimately agreements

```
reached, but -- but I think that transportation, prior
 1
 2
     counseling fees and future counseling fees are all within the
     ambit of the order. And Paroline, which is a Supreme Court
 3
     case from 2014, encourage district courts to award restitution
 4
     and certainly didn't -- didn't hold back on the application of
 5
     2259. So I am going to find a $47,127.60 amount for
 6
     restitution.
 7
 8
               I will say if the future -- if the future counseling
 9
     is not obtained, and in my view it should be but that's not up
     to me, if it's not obtained, the victim is not entitled to a
10
11
     windfall. This is restitution that's to go to pay for certain
     expenses and that's why I'm awarding the amount.
12
              So that's going to be my finding on restitution.
13
     Anything else from Ms. Smith or Ms. Barkovic on that particular
14
15
     issue?
                          No, Your Honor, except that I attached a
16
              MS. SMITH:
     proposed order to my request as well.
17
18
              THE COURT: Okay. I don't know if we need to sign
     that, but I will enter restitution as part of the criminal
19
20
     judgment in the case.
21
              MS. SMITH:
                           Thank you.
              MS. BARKOVIC: Nothing further, Your Honor.
22
                                                            Thank
23
     you.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you both.
25
              With that, the defendant has the right to make any
```

```
remarks as to the appropriate sentence in the case, as to any
 1
     issues in -- in mitigation that he'd like to say.
 2
              First of all, I'd like to hear from his lawyer, Ms.
 3
     Barkovic, as to the appropriate sentence. I've read
 4
     everything, including the sentencing memoranda, the sentencing
 5
     requests, and probably most compelling to me -- I have a lot of
 6
     respect for Dr. Sugrue. I've met him and I'm familiar with his
 7
 8
     work. He's appeared in other cases here. I think he's a
 9
     really straight shooter, unlike some of these people you get
     out there these days, and he -- he did a -- a good report.
10
11
     I've read that.
               So whatever you'd like to say as to sentence I'm
12
     pleased to hear at this point.
13
              MS. BARKOVIC: Thank you for the opportunity, Your
14
15
     Honor.
16
              Before the Court today is Mr. Randy Montante. He's
     25 years old. At the time of the offense he was 23 years old,
17
     Your Honor.
18
              Unfortunately Mr. Montante had a tough time engaging
19
     in a relationship with a female in real life so he turned to
20
21
     online services in an attempt to meet people, socialize and
22
     hopefully get a girlfriend.
23
              He met MV-1 online. I know the Court's aware of it,
     but she purported to be an 18-year-old female, created an
24
25
     elaborate back story. He fell in love with her, Your Honor.
```

```
Over the course of six or seven months they talked daily, extensively, and their discussions were not just sexually oriented discussions.
```

He was confronted by her mother eventually and made a poor decision by resuming contact with her after a period of non-contact.

This has been one of the -- and will be one of the hardest things in his life as well as his family's life, Your Honor. He has a great background. He's got no criminal history whatsoever. He comes from a hard-working immigrant family who's always done nothing but support him and be there for him. They sent him to a Catholic high school. He was short, a few credits short of completing his undergraduate degree through the University of Michigan Dearborn. He was working, and, in fact, he volunteered in his spare time. We submitted several letters to this Court. All of those letters attest to his character, and I'd ask that the Court take that into consideration with respect to sentencing.

He's had no contact with MV-1 since the inception of this matter, has no interest in ever doing so again. That ties into the report prepared both by Dr. Shiener and Dr. Sugrue. Dr. Sugrue and Dr. Shiener both opine that Mr. Montante is not a pedophile, that there is little to no recidivism risk, and it's unlikely that he suffers from any compulsive sexual behavior. Obviously we are before the Court on a sexually

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
oriented offense, so I believe that those findings by two qualified professionals are very, very relevant.
```

The government asks that the Court impose a sentence within the quideline range which starts at the bottom, Your Honor, at 22 and a half years. That is extreme. What I'm asking the Court to do, given his background, history, all of the information that's been set forth in the pleadings filed with this Court, I'm asking for 15 years. The Court's aware he's going to be on the sex offenders registry upon release. If the Court imposes a sentence of 15 years, he'll be close to 40 years old at the time he is released. It's unlikely that this will ever happen again. It was an isolated incident involving one female and an emotional connection. So I'd hate to see this gentleman spend 28 years in prison, 25, 22 or even 15, Your Honor. I understand that the Court's bound by the minimum, but I'm asking that the Court sentence him to no more than 15 years and that the Court consider sentencing him to a facility that is in this area so that his family who he has a great relationship with can visit him on a frequent basis.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much for those remarks and for all your hard work on the file in support of your client's matter. We're grateful and it's appreciated.

Mr. Montante, you as well have the opportunity to speak personally to the Court as to any remarks you'd like to

```
make as to the sentence, the offense conduct or any mitigating
 1
 2
     factors as well.
                       I'm glad to hear from you. Go right ahead.
              DEFENDANT MONTANTE: Okay. Good morning, Your Honor.
 3
     My name is Randy Montante. I'd like to say a few things and
 4
 5
     talk about the type of person I am.
              I'd describe myself as a healthy young adult who --
 6
              THE COURT REPORTER: Wait, wait. Sir, you've
 7
     got to slow down.
 8
 9
              DEFENDANT MONTANTE: Oh, sorry.
              THE COURT REPORTER: You've got to slow down, start
10
11
            You'd describe yourself as a healthy young adult who..."
              DEFENDANT MONTANTE: Yes.
                                         Who prior to my arrest
12
     volunteered at the Filipino American Community Center, worked
13
14
     part-time hosting at a restaurant, and worked on finishing my
15
     history and political science degrees at University of Michigan
16
     Dearborn.
              When I wasn't out with friends, you could usually
17
18
     find me at my grandmother Naning's [sic] house with the rest of
     my extended family.
19
              THE COURT REPORTER: Slow down.
20
21
              DEFENDANT MONTANTE: Despite all the support and
22
     happiness my family and friends provided, I was still a
23
     slightly depressed 23-year-old struggling to finish college.
     My close friends were all starting careers or getting married
24
     and moving forward with their lives. I felt like I was being
25
```

left behind.

I have a lot to be thankful for, but the one thing I never had was a significant other. In this day and age, if you can't find someone offline, you have the option to look online as well, and that's what I did. I frequented the adult chat site Omegle and had many good experiences on it in the form of stimulating, intellectual and entertaining conversations with people from all over the world. This is where I met MV-1. From the start she told me that she was 18 and consistently maintained and reinforced[the that point by telling me that she drove, babysat, and eventually that she was going to be attending Rutgers College in the fall.

Initially the conversations we had were light and basic but happened on a regular basis. Over time the frequency of our correspondence increased.

Early on, I confirmed my age as 23 and asked her if the five-year gap was a problem. She told me it wasn't. I asked her multiple times. I did not want to make her feel uncomfortable.

On multiple occasions I told her that she didn't need to talk to me if she didn't want to and that she could cut off all conduct, I just wouldn't talk to her anymore. She replied that it was her choice and that making — that talking to me made her incredibly happy. It made me just as happy to hear that.

She is intelligent and well -- as well as texted in complete sentences, even using commas correctly, something not many people are able to do.

I enjoyed talking to her. She supported me through difficult times such as when my maternal grandmother passed away in May of 2016 and also expressed wholehearted interest in me as a person, and I was there to support her whenever she had a bad day and wanted to talk about it. It felt nice to be a shoulder to cry on, even if it was digitally.

She is the first person I ever romantically cared about and I'd never experienced that before. I fell in love with her but I never told her that.

During our last stretch of contact her mother became involved and warned me away, disclosing that her daughter, who I had seen over Skype, talked to on the phone and texted every day for half a year, was 12. It was the most shocking thing I had ever heard. It caught me off guard and I couldn't believe it, I didn't believe it. Nothing she previously told me gave me any warning that she was anything other than 18. I spoke harshly to her mother and I deeply regret it. I was so blinded and emotionally distraught that I couldn't think straight and I panicked, leading to my current incarceration. I did not keep my emotions, some of which I had never felt before, in check.

This whole situation has me overwhelmed. I was naive. No one in my family or anyone I'm associated with has

```
ever gone through something like this so I had no idea what I was getting myself into or what I got myself into.
```

And the facts of the case were never fully discussed by my prior attorney and he never explained the potential implications of this case. I could never fathom that the scope of my mistake would literally take my entire life away from me. Now I'll have to spend the rest of the prime of my life in prison and the rest of my life regretting it as well as dealing with the lasting repercussions.

This whole ordeal has been harder for my family to bear than it has been for me. The strain and difficulty this situation has placed on them is something I'll never be able to resolve and must carry with that -- that with me as well.

This is to say nothing of her, MV-1, and her family and the embarrassment and hardship she and they have been put through. I'm deeply ashamed and would beg their forgiveness. She's an intelligent, compassionate and overall thoughtful human being. She doesn't deserve to go through this. No one deserves to go through this.

I pray she's able to move past this and find happiness and success in life. I hope she forgets about me. It would have been best if I had never talked to her. It's done nothing but caused needless pain, sadness and anguish to everyone involved.

I have not yet been able to help better the world

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
through any meaningful work or career. This being the case, I
resolve to make the most of my time in prison being productive.
My plans to continue are finish my college degrees and also
learn a trade such as plumbing or electrical work. My goal is
to become a published author while incarcerated.
         Aside from this, I would -- I also plan on
maintaining good physical health and mental health. This would
be aided by allowing me to continue to use e-mail services to
better communicate with my loved ones, especially those who
live too far to visit often.
         Being designated and sent to Milan's Federal
Correctional Institution would also be ideal as it would allow
my family and friends to continue to visit regularly. They're
my support system here, and when I'm released they'll be my
support system as well.
         I wish to better myself through this and move on in
the best way I possibly can. I understand and acknowledge that
through my actions I failed in my responsibility as an adult.
I never intended to hurt or endanger anyone, most of all her.
         I'm truly sorry for all that has happened. Though I
have inconvenienced the Court and put both her family and mine
through a horrible experience, I ask for forgiveness.
                                                       Ι
apologize for my actions. I know that my great remorse can't
fix the sins of the past, what's done is done, but I beg your
```

leniency and that of the court during the sentencing so I may

eventually pay back my debt to society. Thank you.

```
2
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Mr.
     Montante, for those heartfelt remarks. The Court appreciates
 3
     them very much.
 4
              And the Court also recognizes the people of the
 5
     United States for any remarks they'd like to make. The United
 6
     States gets an opportunity to speak as to the appropriate
 7
 8
     sentence as well.
 9
              Two things, Ms. Smith. The first is whether MV-1,
     who is the victim here, would like to be heard or make any
10
     statement today.
11
              And secondly, I have read your sentencing memorandum
12
     initially filed as well as in reaction to the second sentence
13
     memorandum Ms. Barkovic filed, so I'm familiar with all the
14
     materials in the file as well.
15
              Go right ahead.
16
              MS. SMITH: Your Honor, Minor Victim 1 is not here
17
     today and neither are her parents, and I'd like to address that
18
     in part of my allocution.
19
              THE COURT:
20
                          Sure.
21
              MS. SMITH: I did receive a victim impact statement
22
     that I provided to the Court.
              THE COURT: I -- I did read that, yes, and the
23
     remarks of the mother. I'm sorry to interrupt, but I -- I do
24
25
     want the record to be clear that I received all that and read
```

```
Sentencing • Thursday, January 25, 2018
```

```
1
     it as well.
              MS. SMITH:
 2
                          Thank you.
              THE COURT:
                          Go right ahead.
 3
              MS. SMITH: And as part of my allocution when I get
 4
 5
     there, I will read some portions of that letter.
              There are four points that I want to make this
 6
     morning, understanding that the Court is very familiar with the
 7
 8
     facts of this case and that the Court has thoroughly read all
 9
     of the filings which at times have been voluminous and -- in
     nature.
10
11
               So the United States, Your Honor, is asking for a
     sentence within the guidelines, which is 262 to 327 months.
12
              The first point I want to make is about the nature
13
     and circumstances of this offense. Now, the defendant has had
14
     a very long time to write a very eloquent and well-spoken
15
16
     allocution to this Court, but the evidence in this case
     demonstrates that this was not simply a case of mistaken
17
     identity or a case of Romeo and Juliet. This victim was
18
     13 years old, not 17, not 16, she was 13.
19
               She told him, and we have evidence as early as June
20
21
     that she told him, she was 13. He didn't want to believe it,
     he denied it. He continued to ignore her when she tried to
22
23
     bring it up. Her -- she doesn't look like an 18-year-old, she
     certainly wasn't acting like an 18-year-old, and his -- her
24
     mother told him not once but twice that she was a child.
25
```

```
Sentencing • Thursday, January 25, 2018
```

```
instead of saying good-bye, which is what a 23-year-old should
 1
 2
     have done, he decided to try to teach her to hide her
     activities with him and even explained to her that, quote, "I'm
 3
     going to talk to you like you're 18 and in college because if
 4
     the cops find out, I'm going to jail for at least ten years.
 5
     And if they ask you, you have to tell them I thought you were
 6
 7
     18 and that you told me you were the whole time because if I
 8
     can deny it, I'll be fine. I researched it."
 9
              Your Honor, this is a serious offense.
                                                       This
     defendant took advantage of a very young child.
10
11
     undeterred by her mother's message twice to him. He was
12
     undeterred by the threat of law enforcement for which Minor
     Victim 1's mother told him she was going to the police, and he
13
14
     was undeterred by the fact that this child was just 13 years
15
     old.
16
               Secondly, the history and characteristics of this
     defendant warrant a quideline sentence. As this Court knows, I
17
18
     am also very familiar with Dr. Sugrue's work. He appears on
     behalf of the defense in very, very many child exploitation
19
20
             And I would point out that I have read his report, and
21
     I think that the Court is -- or should review this in the light
     by which it was obtained. Much of the information relies
22
```

exclusively on the defendant's version of the events, and most

certainly at the point in time that Dr. Sugrue interviewed him,

the defendant had every incentive to present himself in the

23

24

```
most favorable light, and, in fact, Dr. Sugrue picked up on that in the Minnesota Personality Test where he indicated that it appeared that the defendant was trying to make himself look better.
```

What Dr. Sugrue did discover is that it is consistent with the defendant's actions here, and that's that the defendant has a sexual interest in young preteen and teen girls, and that's evidenced not just by his relationship with Minor Victim 1 but we also found pictures that he had saved to a thumb drive of four unknown individual girls who appeared to be around the same age as Minor Victim 1.

Now, most predictive of repeat or escalating offenses, the United States Sentencing Commission has -- has opined with both the defense and prosecutors agreeing that instead of looking at recidivism rates in hard core numbers, the better assessment for future dangerousness is two factors: the first is personality, meaning antisocial behavior, and the second is sexual deviancy. That is most accurately tested by the Hare Psychopathy Checklist.

Now, Dr. Sugrue gave the -- the preliminary checklist, he didn't do the full checklist, but I can proffer to the Court that Dr. Sugrue's evaluation found that the defendant had narcissistic tendencies. That is considered an antisocial behavior that would support an increased risk for re-offense or escalating offense.

```
Sentencing • Thursday, January 25, 2018
```

Secondly, there are sexual deviancy factors present here, and sexual deviancy is defined as any abnormal source of sexual attraction. Here we have a sexual attraction to young children, preteen and young teens, and we have a sexual attraction to sadomasochistic type activity.

Both of the factors that the Hare Psychopathy

Checklist indicates are risk factors are present in this case.

And like I said, the reason why science is moving towards these behavioral tests is because tests like the Static-99 are unreliable. They're unreliable because they only rely on convictions, and there is a long way from criminal behavior to an arrest to a conviction to prison time.

The third point, Your Honor, has to do with just punishment and deterrence because, as the Court knows, this isn't just about the defendant's psychological mis -- psychological condition. This is about all of the 3553(a) factors.

Congress has set the minimum punishment of 15 years for minimal offenders. What that means is one -- one picture, one snapshot in time of sexually explicit behavior of a child warrants a 15-year custodial sentence.

And we have so much more than that here. We have a period of months where the defendant engaged this child both to create videos, to create pictures, and to spend hours upon hours upon hours through the night, through the day of her live

streaming, performing sexual acts on herself.

And in the face of being told that this -- her -that his conduct was illegal, he turned to manipulate her into
hiding her activities from her parents, the people that she
should trust the most, the people that should be giving her a
soft spot to fall. He turned her against them and instructed
her to delete everything she was doing and to hide her
activities. And, in fact, the defendant himself deleted so
much of his activity that all we are left with is a sliver of
time between the defendant and Minor Victim 1.

There is also something to be said about the congressional mandate of the United States Sentencing Guidelines and the mandatory minimums. Congress has set forth the United States Sentencing Commission who has had every opportunity to review and make revisions to certain enhancements and guideline ranges. The guideline range is set to capture the heartland of offenses for a particular -- for a particular statute violation, and in this case Congress has set this penalty at 262 to 327 months. The defendant has presented nothing that would take him outside the heartland of cases, and therefore that makes this a guideline sentence.

Finally, Your Honor, I want to speak a bit -- a bit about this victim and her family. This case was opened in 2016 and I have personally gotten to know the victim and her family. They have been very active in participating in the prosecution

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
of this case. Minor Victim 1's mother was anguished about
whether or not she should fly in today and appear before you.
She had a very real concern that the media might publish a
story because the media had initially covered this case from
the onset. Out of fear that her daughter's identity may be
revealed, she decided not to appear today.
         And so while I recognize that the defendant has a
number of family members here to support them, I would urge the
Court to consider the fact that if this -- if this victim were
not afraid, if perhaps we were in her jurisdiction, I would
have my side of the courtroom filled with family members that
are concerned for this child.
         And in lieu of appearing today, Minor Victim 1's
mother submitted this statement that I just want to read a
couple of -- a couple of things to help capture what it's like
to be on this side, what it has been like for the victim and
her family.
         THE COURT: I -- I -- you go ahead and do that, of
course, but I assume this came from what you have attached to
your sentence memorandum?
         MS. SMITH:
                     Yes.
         THE COURT:
                    Okay. Go ahead.
         MS. SMITH:
                     Yes.
                           She writes, quote, "She was just
coming out of the sixth grade when he took advantage of a
```

fragile, innocent, young girl. He tried to alienate her from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Sentencing • Thursday, January 25, 2018
```

```
her family and make her dependent upon him. He robbed her of
her innocence. We've watched a young girl who was a straight-A
honor student, on the softball team, singing, dance, doing
plays, gymnastics and outgoing start to shut down, lock herself
in her room, cry and withdraw from her family and friends.
                                                            She
felt all alone, scared and afraid. He robbed her of her
childhood, her happiness, her mental well-being. He robbed her
from us. She is emotionally, physically and mentally
traumatized. We have been in counseling since we found out
what happened.
         "I need the Court to show her that her courage to
come forward was not in vain. We need the Court to show her
that her courage to report him and -- to us and the law was not
in vain, that he will never be able to go near or hurt children
ever again.
         "You have no idea how hard this has been, and the
only solace for our family will be that he is punished to the
fullest extent of the law. I cry every night. There isn't a
day that this has left us, not for a single minute.
                                                    The pain
is life-lasting and we are managing it the best we can."
         And, Your Honor, I can proffer to the Court that
Minor Victim 1's mother contacts me on a weekly basis, and in
times where we have court hearings, she contacts me on a daily
       This family is very interested in receiving justice for
basis.
this child, and in the United States' view, justice is a
```

```
sentence of 262 to 327 months.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you as well for those remarks on behalf of the United States, Ms. Smith, which were very compelling as well.

Okay. I have spoken to all the financial issues in the case. I'm going to analyze the 3553(a) factors, state the sentence and then give the lawyers for both sides an opportunity to make legal objections before the sentence is finally imposed.

It is, of course, the most difficult of cases because we have an individual who was -- had a bright future, falling into severe criminal activity and visiting upon the victim and her family the severe consequences that Ms. Smith just set forth.

The fact that there's a mandatory minimum of 15 years speaks to the Congress's will on behalf of the people of the United States of how these types of offenses should be -- your client's mother wants to say a few words? I just got a note.

MS. BARKOVIC: I apologize. I thought the Court had authorized it. I would have referenced it.

THE COURT: Well, I'm happy to hear from -- from the mom. I would -- yeah, I would have wanted to do that like between your speaking and your client's speaking, but I'm -- I'm fine. It's -- it's fine if the mom wants to speak.

MS. BARKOVIC: Thank you, Judge. I appreciate it and

```
I apologize for the miscommunication.
 1
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Yep. Okay. Good morning to you.
              MRS. MONTANTE: Good morning, Judge Murphy.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          What's your name?
 4
              MRS. MONTANTE: I am Ruth Nibungco Montante.
 5
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Go ahead.
 7
              MRS. MONTANTE: Randy's mother.
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, go right ahead.
 8
 9
              MRS. MONTANTE: On behalf of my husband along with
     our family and friends, I stand before this Court to ask for
10
11
     utmost consideration and your most sincere compassion for my
           The past year has been the most difficult time of my
12
     son.
            I never envisioned this to happen to our family.
13
     life.
14
     only son, Randy, is definitely not the person being portrayed
     in this case. He is a good son. He's never been in trouble
15
16
     with the law prior to this case. He is a wonderful brother to
     his sister. It has been very difficult time for us as a family
17
18
     dealing with his more than a year of absence.
              Randy is a compassionate, loving and honest person.
19
20
     He is trustworthy and respectful, especially to his elders.
21
     gets along well with anyone he meets. Randy is generous with
22
     his time for besides taking college courses and working
23
     part-time, he still managed to volunteer his services at the
     Filipino Community Center. He helped with the youth program
24
25
     that taught the culture and history of the Philippines.
```

spent most Sunday afternoons at the center.

Randy also helped with a friend who was a coach of a high school soccer team. His friend would call him when he needed extra hands in manning the gates during scheduled games.

We as a family also volunteered our time selling 50/50 raffle tickets at the Ford Field for Cure For Cancer during the Lions games.

When the World Medical Relief Office was moving to a new location in Southfield, Randy volunteered with the building renovation by cleaning bricks that were demolished from partitions.

Randy also did chores for neighbors, single mother, a single working mother of two school-age children, assisting with yard work, mowing the lawn, shoveling snow and trimming trees without being asked.

These are only a few of the numerous instances that Randy showed his willingness to help when needed.

One thing that Randy had difficulty with was finding a sincere partner that he can share his time and activities with. He's character — characterized as gregarious in social gatherings but extremely shy when it comes to meeting girls. But once these girls get to know him, he becomes their good friend. He wanted a girlfriend but was not able to move forward and ended up going online to find one.

Randy is short of a few credits to graduate a major

```
in his -- world history and political science, aspiring to be a
 1
 2
     professor one day.
              My son was looking for love, for acceptance.
 3
     Unfortunately he made a mistake, his first offense against an
 4
     established law of this country. Randy is very sorry for what
 5
     he has done.
 6
               It has been very difficult to go on living with the
 7
 8
     thought of my son incarcerated. I am reaching to you, please
 9
     find appropriate rehabilitation that my not -- my son needs at
     this time. I plead for leniency, Your Honor, to please give
10
     Randy a chance to redeem himself of this mistake. Give him a
11
     chance to be productive member of the community. I hope that
12
     the right rehabilitation will help Randy. He is not a danger
13
14
     to anyone, most especially to young people.
               Thank you, Your Honor, for allowing me this
15
     opportunity to speak before this Court for my son.
16
              THE COURT:
17
                           Okay.
              MRS. MONTANTE:
                               Thank you.
18
                           Thank you. You're very welcome.
19
              THE COURT:
     you for those remarks and the time and emotion that you put
20
21
     into preparing them as well.
22
              So I'll start over again. I'm going to talk about
23
     the 3553(a) factors and provide my justification for the
     sentence that both lawyers may object to if they decide to.
24
               I don't know where I started, but my view obviously
25
```

```
is this is the most difficult of cases, and I think I did say you have a young man, law abiding, with a future and contributing, along with the devastation to a family that we just heard Ms. Smith speak in detail about, and now, of course, we have the devastation to the family of Mr. Montante, the defendant, who -- from whom's [sic] mother we heard.
```

Fifteen years is an extensive prison term for any crime, and the Congress has recognized this to be among the worst of them by imposing a mandatory term of 15 years for the reasons that Ms. Smith talked about. I think that the Congress wants to penalize Internet video pornography produced, enticed and distributed by minors because these types of situations arise from them and the emotional devastation that the victims feel. I'm not the least bit unmindful of that.

Moreover, the Sentencing Commission urges and highly recommends that federal judges take these types of crimes even more seriously because of the scientific underpinnings of what the lawyers and Dr. Sugrue have — have addressed in terms of the severe consequences of online video criminal behavior with children and the effect it has on them going forward.

I'm not unmindful that 262 months is a guideline and therefore a reasonable sentence that I could -- could give and effectively have no appeal from.

I am going to vary somewhat and I'm not going to give the minimum, which is 15 years, that -- that, quite honestly,

```
the probation office recommended in this particular case and they see a lot more than I do, but I'm not going to go to 262 either for a couple of reasons which I'll explain now.
```

Ms. Smith in her sentencing memorandum accurately states that 15 years would be appropriate for a first-time offender with the most minimum of offense conduct.

Notwithstanding that, this individual, Mr. Montante, did not offend before, he has no prior criminal involvement, and he got involved in this when he was not much out of his youth either.

Accordingly, I think there's room for punishing this conduct, deincentivizing it in the future, but still giving him a likelihood of rehabilitation if we say all right, I'm not giving you 262 months but I am giving you a serious term to punish your conduct and to deter future behavior.

One of the most compelling things I -- I see in the case is that the defendant did not take the material and sell it or give it to others. I do believe that he was involved in a skewed, inappropriate -- I hate to use psychological words because I'm not a psychologist, but manic relationship that he got fully carried away with, but it was very severe based on the evidence that I saw along with the age that the girl had disclosed and that her mother got involved in which led to devastation there.

There's no good sentence I can give in this case, but I've tried to approximate the incentives I think I can give for

rehabilitation and punishment in a variance of some sort along with a very serious term that would tell this defendant that the behavior he engaged in was intolerable and at the same time tell society that these types of crimes are not being taken anything less than seriously, at least by this federal judge.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Sentence Reform Act of 1984, the Court, having considered all the sentence guidelines and factors laid out in 18 USC, Section 3553(a), hereby commits the defendant, Randy Montante, to the custody of the U.S. Bureau of Prisons for a term of 210 months.

It's further recommended that the defendant be designated to an institution with a comprehensive drug treatment program, and I believe he should be placed at an institution where he can get help, therapy and recovery from the behaviors that created him or caused him to engage in -- in these crimes.

I would be very happy to recommend his incarceration at FCI Milan, and I think the Bureau of Prisons should strongly consider placing him there because his mother, his father and the rest of his family give him a great deal of support, but I also think that any institution that has intensive treatment for rehabilitation of his sort of crime should be -- he should be placed there primarily.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed on a supervised release term of five years.

The defendant may and will pay a special assessment of a hundred dollars along with a \$5,000 special assessment mandated under 18 USC, Section 3014(a)(3). Those special assessments are due immediately.

I'm going to institute a restitution order of

\$47,127.60 pursuant to the legal ruling I made earlier.

Accordingly, the defendant, while in custody, must participate in the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, IFRP. I'm aware of the requirements of that program. I approve a payment schedule of the program that's appropriate to this case and hereby order the defendant's compliance with it.

No fine, no costs of incarceration, no costs of supervision will be imposed due to the defendant's lack of financial resources.

Mandatory drug testing will be ordered again because of the -- his history of abuse that we saw in the Pre-Sentence Report.

Supervised release. While on supervision, the defendant shall abide by the standard conditions adopted by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and he shall comply with the following special conditions:

Due to the personal history, the characteristics of the instant offense and the other factors clearly set forth in the Pre-Sentence Report, the defendant shall participate in a program approved by the Probation Department for substance

```
That may include testing to determine if the defendant
 1
     abuse.
 2
     has reversed -- reverted to the use of drugs or alcohol.
               If necessary while on supervised release, the
 3
     defendant shall participate in a program approved by the
 4
 5
     Probation Department for mental health counseling.
               He shall comply with the requirements of the Sex
 6
     Offender Registration and Notification Act. That's known as
 7
 8
     SORNA and is recorded at 42 USC, Section 16901. He'll do that
 9
     as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons and
     any state sex offender registration agency in Michigan or
10
11
     wherever he ends up residing on supervised release, where he
12
     works, is a student, or here in Michigan where he was convicted
     of the qualifying offense.
13
               Mr. Montante shall provide his probation officer with
14
     accurate information about all computer systems, hardware,
15
16
     software, passwords, Internet service providers and other
     computer materials that the defendant has potential access to
17
18
     on supervised release and abide by all rules of the U.S.
     Probation Department's Computer Monitoring Program.
19
               He shall only access a computer approved by the
20
21
     probation officer.
22
               He must consent to the probation officer conducting
23
     periodic, unannounced examinations of all computer systems,
24
     which may include computer monitoring software, at the
     defendant's expense.
25
```

For the purpose of accounting for all computer hardware, software and accessories as well as computers themselves, the defendant must submit his person, residence, computer and vehicle to a search conducted by the Probation Department at a reasonable time and manner.

Mr. Montante must inform other residents of the premises in which he resides that his computer may be subject to search pursuant to the condition I just laid out.

The defendant shall provide a probation officer with access to any requested financial information, including billing records for the telephone, cable, Internet, cell phone, satellite, et cetera.

Mr. Montante will be required to successfully complete sex offender diagnostic evaluations, treatment and counseling programs and polygraph examinations as directed by the probation officer. Reports pertaining to sex offender assessments, treatments and polygraph examinations shall be provided to his probation officer. As directed by the Court, the defendant shall pay all or a part of the costs of the diagnostic evaluations, treatment or counseling programs, and the polygraph examination is based upon their ability to pay.

The defendant shall not purchase, sell, view or possess images in any form of media or live venue that depict pornography, sexually explicit conduct, child erotica, child nudity or anything else, whether adult or child. The defendant

```
shall not patronize any place where any such material or entertainment is available.
```

He shall not associate with minor children under the age of 18 except in the presence of a responsible adult who is aware of the nature of this offense and background and who has been approved by the probation officer. Mr. Montante shall not frequent places where children congregate on a regular basis. Those types of places would include, but are not limited to, schools, playgrounds, child toy stores, video arcades and things of that nature.

Finally, Mr. Montante must submit his person, residence, office, vehicles, papers, business or place of employment and any property under his control to a search. Such a search will be conducted by the probation officer at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a violation of — or condition of supervised release being present. Failure to submit to such a search may be grounds for revocation. Mr. Montante shall warn any residents of the premises where he lives that those presidents — premises may be subject to search.

That will be the sentence of the Court. Is there any reason other than what you've already argued to the Court why the sentence that I stated should not be imposed, Ms. Smith?

MS. SMITH: No, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And Ms. Barkovic?

MS. BARKOVIC: No, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Okay. The Court will order the sentence that I stated earlier to be imposed after the statements of counsel.

There is a waiver of the right to appeal the sentence in this case. Under some circumstances, a defendant has a right to appeal his sentence and plea, but here, Mr. Montante, you have waived your right as part of the Plea Agreement. And since you've entered into a Plea Agreement that waives your right to appeal the sentence if I sentence below the guideline range, that waiver is enforceable. If you believe that yours is not, you can take that up with the U.S. Court of Appeals directly.

The defendant is in custody and will be remanded to the marshals' care for continued service of his sentence.

Both parties have copies of the Pre-Sentence Report. I will furnish amended copies that address resolution of disputed issues, although I think the report will be accepted as stands so we won't need to revise it. Complete copies will be ultimately prepared and sent to the Bureau of Prisons and the Sentencing Commission. Any other copies are to be kept strictly confidential.

That is the end of the proceedings from this side of the bench. Anything else from the United States?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Yes, Your Honor, I have two housekeeping
         MS. SMITH:
issues. First, because the defendant was sentenced within the
parameters of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement, I move to dismiss
Counts 2, 3 and 4 of the indictment.
                     Those are dismissed on your motion, and
         THE COURT:
if you could provide an order to us, we'll enter that on the
docket.
         MS. SMITH:
                     Sure. And secondly, I do not have any
objections to the sentence that I have not previously raised,
and I would ask that you ask defense counsel the same.
         THE COURT:
                     I did do that and you both said no.
Okay. All right.
         Okay. Mr. Montante, I -- I tried to be lenient but
also take into consideration the seriousness of what happened.
I wish you good luck. This is bad for everybody. I will say
that at the end of your term, I think you can do really, really
well, and I hope you stick to what you read to me in that
letter. If you do, you'll be better off and everybody will be
better off for it. All right.
         Okay. We'll be in recess now.
         MS. SMITH:
                     Thank you.
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you all very much.
         DEFENDANT MONTANTE:
                              Thank you.
         THE CLERK: All rise. The Court is in recess.
         (Court in recess at 12:22 p.m.)
```

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
I, Linda M. Cavanagh, Official Court Reporter of the	
United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan,	
appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States	
Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1	
through 47 comprise a full, true and correct transcript of the	
proceedings held in the matter of United States of America vs.	
Randy Nibungco Montante, Case No. 16-20576, on Thursday,	
January 25, 2018.	
s/Linda M. Cavanagh Linda M. Cavanagh, RMR, RDR, CRR, CRC	
Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	
Date: March 27, 2018 Detroit, Michigan	
Decrete, interingan	